```
From: Martha Davis

Sent: Friday, December 19, 2003 1:54 PM

To: ; Guivetchi, Kamyar; Dabbs, Paul; Adams, Linda

Cc: Perrone, Michael; Bottorff, Loren; ; ; Beutler, Lisa;

v; ; Greg Thomas; Grant Davis;

; Rich Walkling; ;

; Jennifer Martin; Spreck Rosekrans; Peter Gleick; Scott Cantrell;

Michael Warburton; Mary Ann Dickinson; Jack Sullivan; PollyOsborne-Smith; Bob Wilkinson;

Frances Spivy-Weber; Ann Hayden; Betsy Reifsnider; Soehren, Richard; Lorenzato, Stefan; Frink,

Ted; Andrew, John
```

Subject: RE: Caucus Comments on Chapter 1

I concur with the comments outlined in Nick's letter. The chart on page 2 (selected historical water portfolio categories/2030 sceario demands) is particularly problematic as I am unaware of any urban water agency in the state that uses the applied/depleted analysis as the basis for their water planning (remember, among our goals for the State Water Plan is that it be relevant, comprehensible and useful to the public).

I also appreciate the conversation that was held on Friday about the importance of DWR circulating draft documents sufficiently in advance of the meeting for the advisory committee to review so that we can continue to build consensus. Together, DWR and the Advisory Committee have done remarkable work to date, and it is essential that consensus-seeking process of which DWR is rightfully proud be honored.

Martha

Martha Davis

Executive Manager for Policy Development

----Original Message-----

Inland Empire Utilities Agency

Subject: Caucus Comments on Chapter 1

Attached are our comments and recommendations for Chapter 1.

After you have had a chance to digest our recommendations, could we have some idea of when the resultant changes to Chapter 1 will be posted on the web.

Thanks in advance for your consideration of our recommendations.

Nick Di Croce California Trout, Inc.

"Be well.....Do good work.....Stay in touch"from Garrison Keillor